

Annexure - I

Status of Interventions in the EDC/FPC villages visited by the JPS Consultants – Gir

Villages visited during the first field visit

EDC Village / Division	Number of families (landless)	Microplan Cost (In Rupees)	Total Expenditure (September 2003) (In Rupees)	25% contribution collected (March 2003) (In Rupees)	Women SHG (s) formed	Main Interventions
Kantala (Gir East)	203	20,30,000	16,97,020	4,67,247	One functional	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LPG • House Repairs • Land leveling
Khadadhar (Gir East)	643	64,30,000	52,34,520	13,69,896	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LPG • Land levelling • House Repairs • Well • Bore well • Electric motor/pump • Check dam
Sukhpur (Gir East)	157	14,80,400	14,47,900	2,50,000	One functional	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LPG • House Repairs • Land leveling
Kranksha (Gir East)	49	5,70,000	4,77,350	68,000	One functional, although two were formed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LPG (one beneficiary only) • Land leveling • House Repairs • Drinking water facility
Dhanej (Gir West)	463	55,09,200	47,98,612	2,75,000	Four functional	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LPG • Land leveling • House Repairs • Community farming
Jepur (Gir West)	352	38,40,000	27,25,555	3,50,000	One functional	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LPG • Land leveling • House Repairs • Check dam • Self employment • Pond • Group fencing

Villages visited during the second field visit

Name of the EDC/ Village	Total Microplan budget (in lakhs)	Total funds spent (up to January. 2004) in lakhs	Revision of Microplan after June 2003	Total Fund available with the VDF Account (in Lakhs)	Status of women SHGs		Status of patrolling by the EDC Members	Total GB and Executive Committee Meetings held in last six months	Main Interventions
					Total SHGs Formed	Total SHGs Functional			
Lushala	10.33	6.45 (62 per cent)	Yes	1.50 (23 percent)	One	Not functioning properly	Once in a month No register maintained	4 - GB Meetings 6 - Ex.Committee Meetings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> LPG distribution House repairing Supply of irrigation pipe
Jalandhar	38.00	36.00 (95 percent)	Yes	5.35 (15 per cent)	Nil	Nil	No system developed	3 - GB Meetings 6 - Ex.Committee Meetings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> LPG distribution Development Eco-kunj Construction of checkdam Drinking water facility House repairing Wire fencing Land leveling Self employment generation
Bhania	13.50	10.79 (80 percent)	Yes	0.87 (8.06 percent)	One SHG formed	Discontinued	No regular system developed	2 - GB Meetings 5 - Ex.Committee Meetings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> House repairing drinking water facility land leveling
Zankhiya	15.29	15.41 (upto Dec. 03) (100 percent)	Yes	1.23 (8 percent)	Nil	Nil	No regular system developed No register maintained	3 - GB Meetings 1 - Ex.Committee Meetings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Distribution of LPG House repairing Office of cooperative store Renovation of cremation ground Construction of school compound wall

Name of the EDC/ Village	Total Microplan budget (in lakhs)	Total funds spent (up to January. 2004) in lakhs	Revision of Microplan after June 2003	Total Fund available with the VDF Account (in Lakhs)	Status of women SHGs		Status of patrolling by the EDC Members	Total GB and Executive Committee Meetings held in last six months	Main Interventions
					Total SHGs Formed	Total SHGs Functional			
Javantri	18.00	15.54 (86 percent)	Yes	4.30 (28 percent)	Nil	Nil	No regular system developed	1 - GB Meeting 3 - Ex. Committee meetings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LPG distribution • House repairing • Drinking water
Sanosari	33.75	31.00 (92 percent)	Yes	2.00 (6.45 percent)	2 being formed	Nil	Once in a month No system of record keeping	3 - Ex. Committee meetings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LPG distribution • House repairing • Land leveling • Agriculture implements • Parapet wall • Deepening of well • Computer for school

Villages visited during the third field visit

Name of the EDC/ Village	Total Microplan budget (in lakhs)	Total funds spent (up to March 2004) in lakhs	Revision of Microplan after June 2003	Total Fund available with the VDF Account (in Lakhs)	Status of women SHGs		Status of patrolling by the EDC Members	Total GB and Executive Committee Meetings held in last six months	Main Interventions
					Total SHGs Formed	Total SHGs Functional			
Mindhha Ness	1.50	1.35 (90%)	None	0.375 (27.7)	One	One	Yes Regular	Executive Committee Meetings, as and when required (2-3 in last six months)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rotational grazing • School room construction • Check dam desilting • House repairing
Kodiya	75.50	40.03 (53%)	Yes	10.37 (25.90%)	Two	Two	Occasional	Executive Committee Meetings 6 in last six months	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LPG distribution • Agriculture equipments • Construction of check dam / causeway • Well development • House repairing • Land leveling • Eradication of unwanted weed
Kotada	7.50	7.08 (94.4%)	Yes	1.00 (14.12%)	One	--	No regular system developed	Executive Committee Meetings - 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • House repairing • drinking water facility • Check dam • Agriculture equipment • LPG
Chanchnai	2.00	1.60 (80%)	Yes	0.31 (19.37%)	None	None	No regular system developed. No register maintained	Executive Committee Meetings - 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Distribution of LPG • House repairing (Roof tiles) • School compound fencing • Land leveling • Well development

Name of the EDC/ Village	Total Microplan budget (in lakhs)	Total funds spent (up to March 2004) in lakhs	Revision of Microplan after June 2003	Total Fund available with the VDF Account (in Lakhs)	Status of women SHGs		Status of patrolling by the EDC Members	Total GB and Executive Committee Meetings held in last six months	Main Interventions
					Total SHGs Formed	Total SHGs Functional			
Fareda	24.37	23.43 (96%)	Yes	3.70	None	--	No	Executive Committee Meetings - 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LPG distribution • House repairing • Land leveling • Agriculture implements • Drinking water facility • Gaushala and community centre compound • Self employment generation
Nagadla	45.00	30.47 (67.7%)	Yes	5.65	None	--	Once in a month and no system of record keeping	Executive Committee Meetings - 6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LPG distribution • House repair • Drinking water • Self employment • Gaushala compound wall • Eco-kunj and room in eco-kunj • Land leveling • Agriculture implement • Parapet wall
Bhakha	16.25	13.87 (85.35%)	Yes	1.32	One	One	No	Executive Committee Meetings – 1 in each month	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • House repairing • LPG connections • Land leveling • Irrigation pipeline • Provisioning of agricultural implements • Self employment • Solar lanterns

List of Persons Met during the first field visit – Gir

List of Officials

1. Mr. B.J. Pathak, Field Director, Gir National Park
2. Mr. Ramkumar, DCF - Gir (West)
3. Mr. Amit, DCF, Gir (East)
4. Mr. P.P. Rawal, DCF, Sasangir
5. Mr. B.J. Wadher, RFO, Jassadhar
6. Mr. R.C.Trevedi, RFO, Dhari

List of Beneficiaries

7. Mr. Veerabhai, Vice-President EDC, Kantala Village
8. Mr. Raghunath, Kantala Village
9. Mr. Keshubhai, Kantala Village
10. Mr. Gordhan, Kantala Village
11. Mr. Valakubhai, Kantala Village
12. Mr. Bharatbhai, Kantala Village
13. Mr. Manubhai, Kantala Village
14. Mr. Kalubhai, Kantala Village
15. Mr. Ukabhai Dodiya, Kantala Village
16. Mr. Vijaybhai Makvana, Kantala Village
17. Mr. Kalabhai, Kantala Village
18. Mr. Ranchhodbhai, Kantala Village
19. Mr. Mansukhbhai, Kantala Village
20. Mr. Babubhai, Kantala Village
21. Mr. Karsanbhai Kanabhai, Kantala Village
22. Mr. Balubhai Bholabhai, Kantala Village
23. Mr. Bhikhubhai, Kantala Village
24. Mr. Manubhai, Kantala Village
25. Mr. Mukesh Bhai, Kantala Village
26. Mr. Dayabhai Vallabhbhai, Khadadhar village
27. Mr. Jairambhai, Khadadhar village
28. Mr. Rambhai, Khadadhar village
29. Mr. Ramjibahi, Khadadhar village
30. Mr. Saujibahi, Khadadhar village
31. Mr. Vallabhai, Khadadhar village
32. Mr. Himmatbhai, Khadadhar village
33. Mr. Praveenbhai, Khadadhar village
34. Mr. Bhikabhai, Khadadhar village
35. Mr. Mohanbhai, Sukhpur village
36. Mr. Jethabhai, Sukhpur village
37. Mr. Mathurabhai, village Kranksha

38. Mr. Dheerubhai, village Kranksha
39. Mr. Heerabahi, village Kranksha
40. Mr. Mannubhai, village Kranksha
41. Mr. Dineshbhai, village Kranksha
42. Mr. Narayanbhai, village Kranksha
43. Mr. Somubhai, village Kranksha
44. Mr. Kushabahi, village Kranksha
45. Mr. Govardhanbhai, village Kranksha
46. Mr. Nanubhai, village Kranksha
47. Mr. Jasubhai, village Kranksha
48. Ms. Vimlaben, village Kranksha
49. Ms. Ratanben, village Kranksha
50. Ms. Jayaben, village Kranksha
51. Mr. Banubhai, EDC President, Dhanej Village
52. Mr. Babubhai, EDC Vice-President, Dhanej Village
53. Mr. Bhupath Bhai, Dhanej EDC Village
54. Mr. Heera Bhai, Dhanej EDC Village
55. Mr. Narsibhai, Dhanej EDC Village
56. Ms. Vilas Ben Manish Bhai, Dhanej EDC Village
57. Ms. Urmila Ben Magan Bhai, Dhanej EDC Village
58. Ms. Indu Ben, Dhanej EDC Village
59. Mr. Nanu Bhai, Dhanej EDC Village
60. Mr. Punna Bhai, Dhanej EDC Village
61. Mr. Hira Bhai, Dhanej EDC Village
62. Mr. Girdar Parasram Bhai, Dhanej EDC Village
63. Mr. Sudha Bhai, Dhanej EDC Village
64. Mr. Suresh Kumar, Dhanej EDC Village
65. Mr. Natha Bhai, Dhanej EDC Village
66. Mr. Poona Bhai, Dhanej EDC Village
67. Mr. Bhima Bhai, Dhanej EDC Village
68. Mr. Ashok Kumar, Dhanej EDC Village
69. Mr. Ramani Bhai, Dhanej EDC Village
70. Mr. Phoola Bhai, Dhanej EDC Village
71. Mr. Pratap Bhai, Dhanej EDC Village
72. Mr. Leela Bhai, Dhanej EDC Village
73. Mr. Darshan Bhai, Dhanej EDC Village
74. Mr. Sarvan Bhai, Dhanej EDC Village
75. Mr. Sudha Bhai, Dhanej EDC Village
76. Mr. Kalu Bhai, Dhanej EDC Village
77. Mr. Dhiru Bhai, Dhanej EDC Village
78. Ms. Vimla Ben, Dhanej EDC Village
79. Ms. Rani Ben, Dhanej EDC Village
80. Ms. Chetna Ben, Dhanej EDC Village
81. Ms. Tiny Ben, Dhanej EDC Village
82. Ms. Hansa Ben, Dhanej EDC Village
83. Ms. Bhavi Ben, Dhanej EDC Village

84. Ms. Kalavati Ben, Dhanej EDC Village
85. Ms. Kamla Ben, Dhanej EDC Village
86. Mr. Amirbhai Veerabhai, EDC President, Jepur Village
87. Mr. Rambhai, Jepur Village
88. Mr. Arjunbhai Heerabhai, Jepur Village
89. Mr. Dadabahi, Jepur Village

List of Persons Met during the Second field visit – Gir

List of Officials

1. Mr. B.J. Patnak, Field Director, GNP
2. Mr. Ram Kumar, DCF
3. Mr. Amit Kumar, DCF
4. Mr. P.P. Rawal, DCF
5. Mr. L.J. Parmar, ACF
6. Mr. L.M. Kandoria, RFO
7. Mr. D.P. Baghela, RFO
8. Mr. R.B. Churasma, Forester
9. Mr. U.M. Rathor, Forester
10. Mr. M.K. Tonk, Forester
11. Mr. B.P. Boptan, Forester
12. Mr. J.B. Bhatti, Forester
13. Mr. A.V. Rathod, Forester
14. Mr. B.V. Mehta, Forester
15. Mr. M.F.D. Bloch, Forester

Project Beneficiaries

16. Mr. Malabhai Sidhi Bhai, Chairman, Bhania EDC Village
17. Mr. Mulu Sidhi Bhai, Member, Bhania EDC Village
18. Mr. Bhupat Samji Bhai, Member, Bhania EDC Village
19. Mr. Raju Samji Bhai, Member, Bhania EDC Village
20. Mr. P. Sumura, Member, Bhania EDC Village
21. Mr. N. Shamji, Member, Bhania EDC Village
22. Mr. Ramai Ram, Member, Bhania EDC Village
23. Mr. Mansur Fakir, Member, Bhania EDC Village
24. Mr. Kunu Megha, Member, Bhania EDC Village
25. Mr. V. Bhujvan, Member, Bhania EDC Village
26. Mr. Huku Vagel, Member, Bhania EDC Village

27. Mr. Abhal Bhai Mulubhai, Chairman, Jalandhar EDC Village
28. Mr. Hamir Bhai Arujan Bhai, Member, Jalandhar EDC Village
29. Mr. Raja Bhai Karsambhai, Member, Jalandhar EDC Village
30. Mr. Jeram Bhai Dasu Bhai, Member, Jalandhar EDC Village
31. Mr. Ram Singh Jesu Bhai, Member, Jalandhar EDC Village
32. Mr. Govind Bhai Karsum Bhai, Member, Jalandhar EDC Village
33. Mr. Bhim Singh Kanu Bhai, Member, Jalandhar EDC Village
34. Mr. Dinesh Bhai, Member, Jalandhar EDC Village
35. Mr. Govind Bhai, Member, Jalandhar EDC Village
36. Mr. Ranjet Kunu Bhai, Member, Jalandhar EDC Village
37. Mr. Julu Lukubhai, Member, Jalandhar EDC Village
38. Mr. Govind Bhai Hukumbhai, Member, Jalandhar EDC Village
39. Mr. Rama Bhai Veerabhai, Member, Zankhia EDC Village
40. Mr. Jeram Bhai Jodha, Member, Zankhia EDC Village
41. Mr. Jenia Bhai Bhikka, Member, Zankhia EDC Village
42. Mr. Mulu Bhai Lakha Bhai, Member, Zankhia EDC Village
43. Mr. Senabhai Rana, Member, Zankhia EDC Village
44. Mr. Bhanabhai Bikabhai, Member, Zankhia EDC Village
45. Mr. Pancha Bhai Baghabhai, Member, Zankhia EDC Village
46. Mr. Hari Bhai Bachibhai, Member, Zankhia EDC Village
47. Mr. Ramjibhai Sudubhai, Member, Zankhia EDC Village
48. Mr. Debabhai Dayabhai, Chairman, Lushala EDC Village
49. Mr. Bheema Bhai, Member, Lushala EDC Village
50. Mr. Haribhai Lukhabhai, Member, Piyava EDC Village
51. Mr. Valji Bhai, Member, Piyava EDC Village
52. Mr. Chona Ram, Member, Piyava EDC Village
53. Mr. Jeeva Ratna, Member, Dudhala EDC Village
54. Mr. Jaga Jivraj, Member, Dudhala EDC Village
55. Mr. Batuk Virji, Member, Dudhala EDC Village
56. Mr. Majibhai Umarbhai, Member, Semardi EDC Village
57. Mr. Salim Kalu Bhai, Member, Samardi EDC Village

List of Persons Met during the third field visit – Gir

List of Officials

1. Mr. B.J. Pathak, Field Director, GNP
2. Mr. Ram Kumar, DCF (West)
3. Mr. Amit Kumar, DCF (East)
4. Mr. P.P. Rawal, DCF (Research and Wildlife)
5. Mr. L.J. Parmar, ACF
6. Mr. V.J. Rana, ACF
7. Mr. D.P. Vaghela, RFO
8. Mr. U.M. Rathore, Forester
9. Mr. M.K. Tonk, Forester
10. Mr. B.P. Boptan, Forester
11. Mr. A.V. Rathod, Forester

Project Beneficiaries

12. Mr. Lodha Bhai, Member, Mindha Ness, Gir East
13. Mr. Dadu Bhai, Member, Mindha Ness, Gir East
14. Mr. Meena Bhai, Member, Mindha Ness, Gir East
15. Mr. Saj Bhag Bhai, Member, Mindha Ness, Gir East
16. Mr. Manga Bhai, Member, Mindha Ness, Gir East
17. Mr. Saja Bhai, Member, Mindha Ness, Gir East
18. Mr. Ashok Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
19. Mr. Amrit Lal Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
20. Mr. Govind Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
21. Mr. Manga Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
22. Mr. Naresh Kumar, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
23. Mr. Saja Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
24. Mr. Dheeru Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
25. Mr. Hemu Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
26. Mr. Keshu Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
27. Mr. Bhup Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East

28. Mr. Shambhu Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
29. Mr. Parsottam Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
30. Mr. Soma Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
31. Mr. Dheeru Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
32. Mr. Parsottam Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
33. Mr. Sanjaya Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
34. Mr. Ramu Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
35. Mr. Pawan Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
36. Mr. Ramlal Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
37. Mr. Govardhan Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
38. Mr. Himmat Lal, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
39. Mr. Pawan Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
40. Mr. Raju Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
41. Mr. Madhu Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
42. Mr. Raj Kumar, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
43. Mr. Jeena Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
44. Mr. Mansukh Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
45. Mr. Shyam Sundar Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
46. Mr. Raju Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
47. Mr. Bhoop Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
48. Mr. Atul Bhai, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
49. Mr. Naresh Kumar, Member, Kodia EDC, Gir East
50. Mr. Jaisukh Bhai, Member, Chenchai EDC, Gir East
51. Mr. Bharat Bhai, Member, Chenchai EDC, Gir East
52. Mr. Jaya Raj Bhai, Member, Chenchai EDC, Gir East
53. Mr. D.M. Wala, Forestr & Member Secretary, Chenchai EDC, Gir East
54. Mr. Raghu Bhai, Member, Fareda EDC, Gir West
55. Mr. Nana Bhai, Member, Fareda EDC, Gir West
56. Mr. Parsottam Bhai, Member, Fareda EDC, Gir West
57. Mr. Samat Bhai, Member, Fareda EDC, Gir West
58. Mr. Shamji Bhai, Member, Fareda EDC, Gir West

59. Mr. Bachu Bhai, Member, Fareda EDC, Gir West
60. Mr. Baijal Bhai, Member, Fareda EDC, Gir West
61. Mr. Vagha Bhai, Member, Fareda EDC, Gir West
62. Mr. Mona Bhai, Member, Fareda EDC, Gir West
63. Mr. Dhiru Bhai, Member, Fareda EDC, Gir West
64. Mr. Hamir Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
65. Mr. Lakhman Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
66. Mr. Bachu Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
67. Mr. Itamal Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
68. Mr. Bhoga Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
69. Mr. Suresh Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
70. Mr. Narayan Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
71. Mr. Ram Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
72. Mr. Megha Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
73. Mr. Nasri Bhai, Chairman, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
74. Mr. Hasmukh Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
75. Mr. Babu Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
76. Mr. Kadu Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
77. Mr. Raju Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
78. Mr. Puna Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
79. Mr. Praful Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
80. Mr. Gova Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
81. Mr. Jiju Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
82. Mr. Gulab Sidi, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
83. Mr. Karsan Mudalahari, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
84. Mr. Numbha Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
85. Mr. Bhana Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
86. Mr. Kadu Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
87. Mr. Dadi Bhai, Gohel, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
88. Mr. Bhavu Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
89. Mr. Maja Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West

90. Mr. Jittu Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
91. Mr. Lakhna Bhai, Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
92. Mr. H.N. Puri (Retired Principal), Member, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
93. Mr. Vaghela, R.O., Member Secretary, Nagdla EDC, Gir West
94. Mr. Vajju Bhai, Member, Bhakha EDC, Gir West
95. Mr. Kanji Bhai, Member, Bhakha EDC, Gir West
96. Mr. Vallabh Bhai, Member, Bhakha EDC, Gir West
97. Mr. Bhavej Bhai, Member, Bhakha EDC, Gir West
98. Mr. Mansukh bhai, Member, Bhakha EDC, Gir West
99. Mr. Mansukh Bhai, Chairman, Bhakha EDC, Gir West
100. Mr. Vija Bhai, Member, Bhakha EDC, Gir West

Environmental Impact Assessment – Gir National Park

Issue and Criterion for EIA	Score	Comments
1. PA Management Plan Implementation		
a. Approved PA management plan is available but not yet implemented	1	
b. Approved PA management plan is available but partially implemented due to constraints	2	
c. Approved management plan is being implemented to the satisfaction of PA authorities	3✓	Management plan is being implemented to the satisfaction of PA authorities
2. Management Plan & Stakeholders		
a. The planning process does not allow adequate opportunities for key stakeholders to influence the management plan	1	
b. The planning process provides inadequate opportunities for key stakeholders to influence the management plan	2✓	1. Adequate opportunities were given to stakeholder during planning process. 2. The Stake Holders need to be supported by capacity building programme for creating condition for their adequate participation.
c. The planning process provides adequate opportunities for key stakeholders to influence the management plan	3	
3. Management intervention		
a. Management interventions, as per the approved plan are known but not being implemented	1	
b. Management interventions required are known but only partially implemented due to constraints	2✓	The attempts are made to fully implement the MP. However, fund constraints and procedural delays are the constraints.
c. Management interventions required are known and are being fully implemented	3	

4. PA Resource Management		
a. PA resources are not being managed as per the approved management plan	1	
b. PA resources are partially being managed as per the approved management plan due to lack of funds, equipments and staff	2	
c. PA resources are being managed satisfactorily according to the prescriptions of the approved management plan	3✓	PA resources are being managed satisfactorily according to the prescriptions of the approved management plan
5. PA resource use		
a. Intensity of PA resource use (fuelwood extraction, grazing etc) has reduced slightly due to limited interventions to control resource use	1	
b. Intensity of PA resource use due to adequate interventions	2✓	Intensity of fuel wood extraction and small wood, timber extraction has reduced substantially. There has been a slight reduction of grazing too but results are not as expected. Emphasis is being given on animal husbandry and reduction of livestock to positively impact the PA.
C Intensity of PA resource use has reduced substantially due to various interventions and new interventions are under implementation to further reduce PA resource use	3	
6. Control of land use and activities in PA neighbourhood		
a. Mechanisms for controlling inappropriate land use and activities are in place but are ineffective	1✓	District Co-ordination Committee and the Regional Planning Committee address to these issues. However, effective policy and legal interventions are required.
b. Such mechanisms exist but are only partially implemented resulting in only limited control of land use and activities	2	

c. Such mechanisms are being implemented effectively and there are evidence to measure their outcome	3	
7. Neighbours		
a. Cordial contact between PA authorities and owners and managers of adjoining land is not there	1	
b. There is limited contact and there is limited cooperation in controlling activities on adjoining lands that have negative impact PA	2	
c. There is regular contact and issues of mutual concerns are adequately and satisfactorily addressed	3✓	Cordial contact and issues discussed individually and through EDC meeting
8. Law Enforcement		
a. The staff have no effective capacity / resources to enforce protected area legislation and regulation	1	
b. The staff have acceptable capacity / resources to enforce protected area legislation and regulation, but some deficiencies remain	2	
c. The staff have excellent capacity / resources to enforce protected area legislation and regulation.	3✓	Shortage of staff in some large beats, funds for up keep of wireless, vehicles and consolidation of forest boundary are the current requirement to sustain the effective protection of longer period.
9. Visitors		
a. Visitors to the PA negatively impact PA and the management has not been able to address this at all	1	
b. Visitors to the PA negatively impact PA and the management has worked out an action plan to contain this, which is only partially implemented yielding only limited success	2✓	Visitors to PA especially the pilgrims and visitors to temples like Tulsishyam and movement of vehicles on PWD roads negatively impact the PA and biodiversity. Visitors movement and better facilities for visitors are the potential threat to the ecological integration of the PA and if allowed to grow, may cause ecological fragmentation.

c. Visitors to the PA negatively impact PA and the management has worked out an action plan to contain this, which is fully being implemented yielding desired or near-desired success	3	
10. Tourism		
a. Tourism has increased but facilities to management them are poor	1	
b. Tourism has increased and there are limited facilities to manage them. New facilities are being developed	2	
c. Tourism has increased and there are adequate facilities for managing them	3✓	Considering the principles of eco-tourism particularly that the impact of such tourism on the PA should be minimum adequate facilities exist. Further development of tourist facilities may attract more tourists beyond the carrying capacity and adversely impact the PA.
11. Equipment support		
a. Equipment procured during IEP (Phase I) is inadequate for managing the PA	1	
b. All required equipment has been procured but distributed to limited staff only and only partially used	2	
c. Equipment procured and distributed as per plan and used fully	3✓	As per IEP project equipment are procured and fully used. The Gir PA is well equipped compared to many other PAs. However, more equipment are required as per the management plan.
12. Staff		
a. The staff is inadequate and untrained for carrying out conservation and habitat improvement works	1	

b	The staff is moderate in strength and trained for carrying out conservation and habitat improvement works	2✓	Staff is trained but some strengthening is required especially in large beats also continues training and awareness tours is necessary and required. The staff is aged and there are currently 74 posts are vacant. Compare to other PAs, adequate staff is provided, however, as per the management plan more staff is required.
c	The staff is adequate and trained for carrying out conservation and habitat improvement works	3	
13. Quantification of Gains from Improved PA Management			
a.	Gains achieved through various interventions under Improved PA Management have not been quantified	1	
b.	Gains achieved through various interventions under Improved PA Management have been partially quantified and efforts are going on to complete the quantification	2✓	Gains achieved have been quantified through research project and monitoring of reciprocal commitment.
c.	Gains achieved through various interventions under Improved PA Management have been quantified completely	3	
14. PA biodiversity			
a.	Entire PA biodiversity has not been inventoried and there are no on going efforts to do so	1	
b.	Updated checklists are available for major groups and efforts are on to map the entire PA biodiversity have started	2✓	The checklists of micro flora and fauna are not available. Major taxa have been adequately listed.
c.	Entire PA biodiversity has been mapped at least once	3	
15. Threats to PA			
a.	Threats to PA resources have been assessed but no action plan is available to counter them	1	
b.	Threats have been assessed and an action plan has been developed but yet not or only partially implemented	2✓	Action plan operationalized subject to constraint mentioned earlier.

c. Action plan, based on the approved management plan, to counter threats is completely operationalized	3	
16. PA Habitat		
a. There is little or no information available on the critical habitat and these have not been surveyed	1	
b. Information on critical habitats is not sufficient to support planning and decision making, but these have been surveyed at least once.	2	
c. Information on critical habitats is sufficient to support planning and decision making, and these have been surveyed occasionally.	3✓	Adequate scientific information is available with temporal data.
17. Habitat restoration		
a. Only limited works have been undertaken as per the PA management plan	1	
b. Restoration works are satisfactory but results yet to be observed/assessed	2	
c. Restoration works have started yielding desired results and more works are underway	3✓	Habitat restoration work have started yielding result.
18. PA protection system		
a. Protection systems (patrols, check posts etc) have been established but are ineffective in controlling access or use of PA in accordance with designed objectives	1	
b. Protection systems are only partially effective and only a few successful cases are available as evidence	2	
c. Protection systems are very successful in positively impacting PA conservation and the results can be quantified	3✓	PA protection system is effective. Providing additional staff to implement village eco-development would be more desirable as that allow the current staff to focus more on the PA protection. However, it needs strengthening in the areas of filling the vacant field post, providing additional posts as per the management plan including Jodi Guards, legal superintendent and strengthening of check posts, etc.

19. Education and Awareness		
a. Education and Awareness activities were undertaken but stakeholders continue to negatively impact the PA	1	
b. Education and Awareness activities have had partial success in reducing negative impact by stakeholders	2	
c. Education and Awareness activities have had good success in reducing negative impact by stakeholders	3✓	Education and awareness have given partial results. Negative impacts have lessen. The Gir PA has a long history of providing Nature Education service workshops, seminar, meetings, training programme, etc. which has yeilded good results. The efforts are required to be sustained.
20. Research Studies		
a. Only limited research studies were awarded during Phase I of IEP, the findings of which have not been used in managing the PA	1	
b. Important research studies were awarded during Phase I of IEP, the findings of which have been partially used in managing the PA	2	
c. Important research studies were awarded during Phase I of IEP, the findings of which have been useful and effective in managing the PA	3✓	Important research studies were awarded during Phase I of IEP, the findings of which have been useful and effective in managing the PA.
21. Soil & Water Conservation		
a. S&M works were undertaken on a limited scale due to lack of adequate funds and trained staff resulting in no or insignificant improvement in conservation of soil and water	1	
b. S&M works were undertaken as planned and adequate funds and trained staff was available for implementing them, resulting in noticeable improvement in conservation of soil and water, however, such improvement can not be quantified	2	

c. S&M works were undertaken as planned and adequate funds and trained staff was available for implementing them, resulting in significant improvement in conservation of soil and water, which has been quantified	3✓	SMC works have increased moisture regime inside and outside PA, benefited habitat and increased density of forest in some parts.
22. Vegetation Status in the PA		
a. Various efforts under Improved PA Management has contributed only partially to the improvement of vegetation in the PA and such improvement cannot be measured in terms of increase in forest area, density, canopy cover, biomass etc	1	
b. Various efforts under Improved PA Management have contributed to the improvement of vegetation in the PA but such improvement cannot be measured in terms of increase in forest area, density, canopy cover, biomass etc	2	
c. Various efforts under Improved PA Management has contributed significantly to the improvement of vegetation in the PA and such improvement can be measured in terms of increase in forest area, density, canopy cover, biomass etc	3✓	Various efforts under improved PA management has contributed significantly to the improvement of the PA. Since the notification of the PA i.e., pre IEP period improved PA management works are undertaken which has resulted in to vegetation improvement, over a long period of about more than 30 years. The negative impact of overgrazing by maldhari livestock, however, cause deterioration in the fodder availability for wild herbivores and invasion of weeds.
23. Status of Wildlife		
a. There is no information on the population sizes of endangered and vulnerable species and population of dominant species show a declining trend	1	

b. There is limited information on the population sizes of endangered and vulnerable species and population of both endangered and dominant species show a stable trend	2	
c. There is adequate information on the population sizes of endangered and vulnerable species and population of both endangered and dominant species show an increasing trend	3✓	Adequate information on major flora and fauna work need on minor species.
24. PA Budget		
a. The available budget is Inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage	1	
b. The available budget is acceptable, but could be further improved to fully achieve effective management	2✓	The budget including the IED budget is just adequate and it should be sustained and enhanced in some aspects to meet the objectives of management of PA.
c. The available budget is sufficient and meets the full management needs of the protected area	3	
25. Economic Benefit Assessment		
a. The existence of the protected area has reduced the options for economic development of the local communities	1	
b. There is some flow of economic benefits from the existence of the protected area, but this is of minor significance.	2	
c. There is a significant flow of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the PA (employment of locals, locally operated commercial tours, etc.)	3✓	The PA protection has given significant opportunity to local people in terms of availability of deployment and income both directly and indirectly.

26. Monitoring and Evaluation		
a. There is no monitoring and evaluation in the PA	1	
b. There is some <i>ad hoc</i> monitoring and evaluation, but no overall strategy adopted	2	
c. There is an agreed and implemented monitoring and evaluation system	3✓	Monitoring and evaluation of PA is regularly done.
Total Score	67	

ANNEXURE – IV

Format for Analysis of threats to PA resources including threats to biodiversity

Threat	Baseline Status of Threat at the Time of Project Start (Phase I)	Status of Threat (in terms of % reduction as against baseline) at the end of Phase I	Proposed Actions during Phase II to counter the prevailing threats	Expected Status of Threat at Project Completion (Phase II) (in terms of % reduction as against baseline)	Severity of Threats (On a scale of 1 to 10) and Major Sources of Threats
Grazing	No. of cattle visiting PA: 70,000	No. of cattle visiting PA: 5 - 10%	--	No. of cattle visiting PA:	8/10
	Average amount of biomass consumed: 5500 tonnes/ annum	Average amount of biomass consumed:		Average amount of biomass consumed:	
	Average PA area affected due to grazing:	Average PA area affected due to grazing:		Average PA area affected due to grazing:	
Fuelwood Collection from PA	Average extraction form PA: 36,500 tonnes/annum	Average extraction form PA: 65%	- Supply of LPG - Construction of biogas	Average extraction form PA:	5/10
Poaching	Commercial poaching was never a threat and is still not a threat.. However, some local communities do poaching for domestic consumption	--	Combined effect of law enforcement and social sanction to keep illegal killing at low level.	--	1/10 in Gir 3/10 outside PA
Tourism/ Pilgrimage	45,000 tourists 80,000 pilgrims	90 - 95% increase in pilgrimage	- Visitors regulations - control of traffic to pilgrim location	--	3/10

Threat	Baseline Status of Threat at the Time of Project Start (Phase I)	Status of Threat (in terms of % reduction as against baseline) at the end of Phase I	Proposed Actions during Phase II to counter the prevailing threats	Expected Status of Threat at Project Completion (Phase II) (in terms of % reduction as against baseline)	Severity of Threats (On a scale of 1 to 10) and Major Sources of Threats
Collection of NTFP	NTFP collection from Gir is prohibited. Still some people do collect NTFP from Gir PA fringe area. No reliable information is available with regards to quantity	Not much change envisaged (pre-project level)	--	--	3/10
Encroachment	Encroachment is most serious threat which is required to be curbed.	180.71 ha area was encroached as per current reports, of which 44.91 ha is evacuated.	Encroachments are at various stages of evacuation process.	--	9/10

ANNEXURE V

WILDLIFE CIRCLE - JUNAGADH

Details of Offence Lodged during the Year 2003 - 2004

Sl. No.	Year	Name of Division	Nos. of Offence Lodged								Total
			Illegal Cutting	Grazing	Encroachment	Fire	Poaching	Illegal entry	Transit	Other	
1	2003-04	Gir (West) Dn. Junagadh	44	89	2	10	8	16	--	62	231
2	2003-04	Gir (East) Dn. Dhari	13	68	--	27	9	37	1	48	203
3	2003-04	Wildlife Dn. Sasan	--	--	--	--	--	13	--	--	13
		Total	57	157	2	37	17	66	1	110	447

Annexure - VI

Year-wise and Category-wise Expenditure Details - Gir National Park

Category	1997 - 98					1998-99					1999-2000				
	T-102	T-202	T-302	T-402	Total	T-102	T-202	T-302	T-402	Total	T-102	T-202	T-302	T-402	Total
I	13373345	0	0	0	13373345	6675090	0	0	0	6675090	6582569	0	0	269307	6851876
II	2585671	943775	1261494	297453	5088393	390514	378052	325436	0	1094002	53542	398985	11150	0	463677
III	0	1518476	0	0	1518476	0	13500378	0	0	13500378	0	28026036	0	0	28026036
IV	1281389	886883	189231	831710	3189213	1538097	2797614	0	1210477	5546188	2169807	1746615	325500	1321185	5563107
V	34839	169591	0	0	204430	0	280962	0	0	280962	300000	410353	0	0	710353
VI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	17275244	3518725	1450725	1129163	23373857	8603701	16957006	325436	1210477	27096620	9105918	30581989	336650	1590492	41615049

Category	2000-01					2001-02					2002-03				
	T-102	T-202	T-302	T-402	Total	T-102	T-202	T-302	T-402	Total	T-102	T-202	T-302	T-402	Total
I	8275997	0	0	267204	8543201	5206516	0	0	311242	5517758	0	0	0	15240	15240
II	297172	245848	363556	151577	1058153	396583	127227	391204	613192	1528206	840240	0	142612	581963	1564815
III	0	36137049	0	0	36137049	0	22616928	0	0	22616928	0	41884349	0	0	41884349
IV	2674739	1572674	491330	3299183	8037926	1984188	727717	910160	3286540	6908605	2981902	303201	399260	2480180	6164543
V	0	438686	0	0	438686	319503	164780	0	0	484283	0	199918	0	0	199918
VI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	11247908	38394257	854886	3717964	54215015	7906790	23636652	1301364	4210974	37055780	3822142	42387468	541872	3077383	49828865

Category	2003-04					TOTAL				
	T-102	T-202	T-302	T-402	Total	T-102	T-202	T-302	T-402	Total
I	0	0	0	0	0	40113517	0	0	862993	40976510
II	157835	282587	355761	57287	853470	4721557	2376474	2851213	1701472	11650716
III	0	61735238	0	0	61735238	0	205418454	0	0	205418454
IV	1727238	0	0	0	1727238	14357360	8034704	2315481	12429275	37136820
V	829779	117084	0	0	946863	1484121	1781374	0	0	3265495
VI	349907	0	0	0	349907	349907	0	0	0	349907
Total	3064759	62134909	355761	57287	65612716	61026462	217611006	5166694	14993740	298797902

Note : (1) Above figures are for investment cost only
(2) Above figures are summary based